

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/589,268	Applicant(s) PIETSCH ET AL.	
	Examiner PETER F. GODENSCHWAGER	Art Unit 1796	

All Participants:

(1) PETER F. GODENSCHWAGER.

(2) Richard L. Chinn.

Date of Interview: 7 June 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: allowed

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 8:30 AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
claim 1, claim 13

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussion concerned proposed Examiner's amendment to fix a typo in line 2 of claim 1 to remove the strikethroughed "of" and to incorporate the polymer limitations of claim 8 into a new claim (21) upon which claim 13 would depend.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/P. F. G./
Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)